PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/B2005/000569 02.03.2005 17.03.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07F9/09 Applicant CLARIANT INTERNATIONAL LTD This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. 11.1.2006 For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

9)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Richter, H

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8539



IAP16 Rec'd PCT/PTO 15 SEP 2006 10/593169

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000569

_	Во	x No. I	Basis of the opinion	
1. With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international app the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
		langu	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following lage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	Wit nec	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and cessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. type of material:			
	[□ a	sequence listing	
	[□ ta	ble(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. fo	o. format of material:		
	[□ in	written format	
	[□ in	computer readable form	
c. time o		me of	filing/furnishing:	
	[□ cc	ontained in the international application as filed.	
	[□ file	ed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	[□ fu	rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		has b	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opriate, were furnished.	
4	·Δdc	Additional comments:		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2-4,6-9

No: Claims 1,5

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

6,9

No:

Claims 2-4,7,8

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-9

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

10/593169

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/000569

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: DATABASE CHEMABS [Online] CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS SERVICE, COLUMBUS, OHIO, US; 1994, WACHI, TOSHIO ET AL: "Preparation of phosphate triesters" XP002289140 retrieved from STN Database accession no. 1994:54693
 - D2: CARIES RESEARCH, 25(1), 51-7 CODEN: CAREBK; ISSN: 0008-6568, 1991, XP009034124
 - D3: WO 02/08164 A (BASF AG; MAAS HEIKO (DE); TROPSCH JUERGEN (DE)) 31 January 2002 (2002-01-31)
 - D4: WO 03/091192 A (BASF AG; RULAND ALFRED (DE); BOEHN ROLAND (DE); HACKMANN CLAUS (DE);) 6 November 2003 (2003-11-06)
 - D5: DE 203 03 420 U1 (SASOL GERMANY GMBH) 30 October 2003 (2003-10-30)
- 2. D1, see RN 151834-99-0, Field "CN" und fig., already discloses a compound according to formula I, in which the variables have the following meanings:

m = 3

n = 2 oder 3

x = 5

s = 1

r = 3

r + s = 4

Claim 1, therefore, lacks novelty over D1.

D2, page 52, left hand column "Alkyl Phosphates" describes the phosphoric acid

monoester of tetraethylenglykol-mono-(2-ethylhexyl)etherr und das disodiumsalt (E) therof and at page 53 tables 1 and 2 tooth protection agents against streptokokkus consisting of (E) and distilled water or (E), dist. water and non-ionic surfaceactive agent.

The free acid of (E) meets the variables of claim 1:

m = 1 n = 2 x = 4

s = 1

r = 3

r + s = 4

Hence, claims 1 und 5 lack novelty over D2.

D3-D5 are no anticipations. D3 discloses alcohol residues which are different from formula II; D4 is concerned with guerbet oligoalkoxylate but does not disclose phosphate esters thereof. D5 only discloses a broader generic definition of phosphateesters of guerbet oligoalkoxilates. In contrast thereto the novel compounds of formula I according to claim 1 are novel as being a selection.

3. Compounds known from D1 and D2 can be prepared and used in analogy to known processes from (see the search report for the specific disclosures). Hence, claims 3,4,8 are obvious over the combination D1/D3 or D2/D3. Furthermore, preparation and use of still new compounds is obvious over the combination of D5 (page 11, lines 20-26) or D4 (example 1) with D3 (example 7, claims 12 and 19). Hence, in addition to the novelty objection claims 1-5, 7 and 8 also obvious.